

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/829,505	04/09/2001	Chung-Hsing Tzu	sing Tzu 004728.P054 6728  EXAMINER	
23616	7590 01/12/2004			
LAW OFFICES OF CLEMENT CHENG 17220 NEWHOPE STREET #127			VU, QUANG D	
FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA 92708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/829,505	TZU ET AL.
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang D Vu	2811
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	ig date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	41 (1) (1) (1) (1)
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		en e
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	etion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly
7  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 29-48.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		<b>)</b> .
10.  Other:	//_(/	DDIE LEE
	SUPERVISOR	Y PATENT EXAMINER DGY CENTER 2800

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/829,505

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 35, 37, 42, 46 and 48 raise new issues that require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 29-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,420,779 to Sharma et al. in record paper #10.

It is argued, in page 7, that Sharma et al. do not teach or suggest the portions of the bonding pads which define the lower surface that protrude from a lower surface of the molding compound. This argument is not convincing because Sharma et al. (figure 1) show the portions of the leads (130, 140) which define the lower surface that protrude from a lower surface of the molding compound (150). Additionally, the bonding pads are portions of the leads because the leads are conventionally connected through bonding pads to the structures it interconnects between the wires and the external circuit. Therefore, the bonding pads (the portions of the leads [130, 140]) which define the lower surface that protrude from a lower surface of the molding compound (150).